PRORINGO SOR



APPENDIX 4-4

SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN



Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	1	
	1.1 Statement of Authority	1	
2.	SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE DESIGN	0.2	
	2.1 Existing Drainage Features 2.2 Drainage Design Principles 2.3 Best Practice Guidance 2.4 Drainage System 2.5 Surface Water Drainage Measures 2.5.1 Interceptor Drains 2.5.2 Swales 2.5.3 Check Dams 2.5.4 Level Spreader 2.5.5 Piped Slope Drains 2.5.6 Vegetation Filters 2.5.7 Stilling Ponds (Settlement Ponds) 2.5.8 Siltbuster 2.5.9 Silt Bags 2.5.10 Sedimats 2.5.11 Culverts 2.5.12 Silt Fences 2.5.13 Hydrocarbon Interceptors	2 3 4 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 11 11 12 12	
3.	3.1 Good Environmental Management During Construction		
4.	4.1.1 Pre-Construction Baseline Monitoring		
5.	COMPLIANCE AND REVIEW	33	
	5.1 Site Inspections and Auditing	33	



 ~	F	 . D	
Ю			

TABLE OF PLATES	
Plate 1 Silt bag under inspection	12
Plate 2 Silt bag with water being pumped through	12
Plate 3: Typical Sedimat Details (Source: https://www.hy-tex.co.uk/)	12
Plate 4: Typical Silt Fence Details	<u>1</u>
TABLE OF FIGURES	
Figure 1: Proposed Wind Farm Drainage Process Flow	4
Figure 2: Drainage Design Measures	10
Figure 3: Siltbuster (Source: https://www.siltbuster.co.uk/sb_prod/siltbuster-fb50-settlement-un	<i>11 it/</i>)11
Figure 4 Schematic of Proposed Project site Drainage Management	18



1.

INTRODUCTION

This Surface Water Management Plan (SWMP) is intended, as an accompanying document to the Construction and Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), to compile the proposed surface water drainage control and treatment measures, set out in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR), and the proposed surface water monitoring programme, set out in the CEMP, in a single document.

This SWMP has been divided into three sections, as listed below, and draws and expands on information already provided in Chapter 4 and Chapter 9 of the EIAR and Section 3.2 of the CEMP (Appendix 4-4 of the EIAR).

- Surface Water Drainage Design
- > Surface Water Drainage Management
- > Surface Water Monitoring Programme

As detailed in Section 1.1.1 in Chapter 1, for the purposes of this EIAR, the various project components are described and assessed using the following references: 'Proposed Project', 'the site', 'Proposed Wind Farm' and 'Proposed Grid Connection Route'.

Statement of Authority

This document has been prepared by Catherine Johnson and reviewed by Niamh McHugh of MKO. Catherine is an Environmental Scientist and Climate Practitioner at MKO with over two years of consultancy experience in climate and sustainability. Prior to joining MKO in 2022, Catherine worked as an Environmental Social Governance (ESG) analyst for Acasta in Edinburgh. Catherine has expertise in international climate law and policy, earth science, and sustainability/ESG processes. Catherine has a BSc in Earth and Ocean Science and an LLM in Global Environment and Climate Change Law. Niamh is an Environmental Scientist who has been working with MKO since June 2021. Niamh possesses a BSc (Hons) in Environmental Science from the National University of Ireland, Galway. Niamh has been involved in the compilation and production of a number of EIARs, mainly in the field of Renewables.

This document has also benefited from input by Michael Gill and Conor Mc Gettigan, both of Hydro-Environmental Services (HES). Michael and Conor are the authors of Chapter 9: Hydrology and Hydrogeology of this EIAR. Michael and Conor also designed the proposed drainage plan for the Proposed Project that was submitted as part of the planning application.

Conor McGettigan (BSc, MSc) is an Environmental Scientist with 3 years' experience in the environmental sector in Ireland. Conor holds an M.Sc. in Applied Environmental Science (2020) and a B.Sc. in Geology (2016) from University College Dublin. Conor has prepared the hydrology and hydrogeology chapter of environmental impact assessment reports for several wind farm development on peatlands. Conor also routinely prepares hydrological and hydrogeological assessment reports, WFD compliance assessment reports and flood risk assessments for a variety of development types including wind farms.

Michael Gill (BA, BAI, Dip Geol., MSc, MIEI) is an Environmental Engineer and Hydrogeologist with over 22 years' environmental consultancy experience in Ireland. Michael has completed numerous hydrological and hydrogeological impact assessments of wind farms and renewable projects in Ireland. He has substantial experience in surface water drainage design and SUDs design and surface water/groundwater interactions. For example, Michael has worked on the EIS for Oweninny WF, Cloncreen WF, Derrinlough WF, and Yellow River WF, and over 100 other wind farm-related projects.



SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE DESIGN

The drainage design for the Proposed Project has been prepared by Hydro Environmental Services Ltd. (HES). The drainage design has been prepared based on experience of the project team of other wind farm sites, and the number of best practice guidance documents referred to in the Bibliography section of the EIAR.

The protection of the watercourses within and surrounding the site of the Proposed Project, and downstream catchments that they feed is of utmost importance in considering the most appropriate drainage proposals for the site of the Proposed Project. The Proposed Project's drainage design has therefore been proposed specifically with the intention of having no negative impact on the water quality of the site and its associated rivers and lakes, and consequently no impact on downstream catchments and ecological ecosystems. No routes of any natural drainage features will be altered as part of the Proposed Project and turbine locations and associated new roadways were originally selected to avoid natural watercourses, and existing roads are to be used wherever possible. There will be no direct discharges to any natural watercourses, with all drainage waters being dispersed as overland flows. All discharges from the proposed works areas will be made over vegetation filters at an appropriate distance from natural watercourses. Buffer zones around the existing natural drainage features have been used to inform the layout of the Proposed Project. A copy of the drainage design drawing which is included in Appendix A of this document.

Existing Drainage Features

The routes of any natural drainage features will not be altered as part of the Proposed Project. Turbine locations have been selected to avoid natural watercourses. It is proposed that 4 no. new watercourse crossing will be required to facilitate the renewable energy development infrastructure. The crossing location are outlined below:

- A new proposed crossing located along access road to T2, approximately 165m north of the L7080 Local Road along the proposed new access road;
- A new proposed crossing located along the proposed access road to T6, approximately 270m south of the L7080 along the proposed new access road;
- A new proposed crossing located along the access road between T6 and T7, approximately 121m south of T6 along the proposed access road, and
- A new proposed crossing located approximately 52m north of T7 hardstand

There will be no direct discharges to natural watercourses. All discharges from the proposed works areas or from interceptor drains will be made over vegetated ground at an appropriate distance from natural watercourse and lakes. Buffer zones around the existing natural drainage features have informed the layout of the Proposed Project and are indicated on the drainage design drawings.

Where artificial drains are currently in place in the vicinity of proposed works areas, these drains may have to be diverted around the proposed works areas to minimise the amount of water in the vicinity of works areas. Where it may not be possible to divert artificial drains around proposed work areas, the drains will be blocked to ensure sediment laden water from the works areas has no direct route to other watercourses. Where drains have to be blocked, the blocking will only take place after an alternative drainage system to handle the same water has been put in place.

Existing artificial drains in the vicinity of existing Proposed Project site roads will be maintained in their present location where possible. If it is expected that these artificial drains will receive drainage water from works areas, check dams will be added (as specified below) to control flows and sediment loads in these existing artificial drains. If road widening or improvement works are necessary along the existing roads, where possible, the works will take place on the opposite side of the road to the drain.



2 Drainage Design Principles

The key principles of drainage design that will be implemented and adhered to as part of the Proposed Project are as follows:

- Keep clean water clean by intercepting it where possible, upgradient of works areas, and divert it around the works areas for discharge as diffuse overland flow or for rewetting of land.
- Collect potentially silt-laden runoff from works areas via downgradient collector drains and manage via series of avoidance, source, in-line, treatment and outfall controls prior to controlled diffuse release as overland flow or for rewetting of land.
- No direct hydraulic connectivity from construction areas to watercourses or drains connecting to watercourses.
- Where possible, maintain 50-metre watercourse buffer zones for the wind turbines.
- No alteration of natural watercourses.
- Maintain the existing hydrology of the site.
- Blocking of existing manmade drainage as appropriate.
- Daily inspection and recording of surface water management system by on-site clerk of works and immediate remedial measures to be carried out as required and works temporarily ceased if a retained stormwater/sediment load is identified to have the potential to migrate from the site.
- Use of silt buster if required.

Drainage water from any works areas of the site of the Proposed Project will not be directed to any natural watercourses within the site. Two distinct methods will be employed to manage drainage water within the site. The first method involves keeping clean water clean by avoiding disturbance to natural drainage features, minimising any works in or around artificial drainage features, and diverting clean surface water flow around excavations and construction areas. The second method involves collecting any drainage waters from works areas within the site that might carry silt or sediment, to allow attenuation and settlement prior to controlled diffuse release.

The drainage design is intended to maximise erosion control, which is more effective than having to control sediment during high rainfall. Such a system also requires less maintenance. The area of exposed ground will be minimised. The drainage measures will prevent runoff from entering the works areas of the site from adjacent ground, to minimise the volume of sediment-laden water that has to be managed. Discoloured run-off from any construction area will be isolated from natural clean run-off.

A schematic line drawing of the proposed drainage design is presented in Figure 1 below.






Figure 1: Proposed Wind Farm Drainage Process Flow

Comprehensive surface water mitigation and controls are outlined below to ensure protection of all downstream receiving waters. Mitigation measures will ensure that surface runoff from the developed areas of the site will be of a high quality and will therefore not impact on the quality of downstream surface water bodies. Any introduced drainage works at the site will mimic the existing hydrological regime thereby avoiding changes to flow volumes leaving the site.

Best Practice Guidance 2.3

The drainage design has been prepared based on experience of the project team of other renewable energy sites in peat-dominated environments, and in accordance with a number of best practice guidance documents.

There is no one guidance document that deals with drainage management and water quality controls for wind farms and other renewable energy developments. However, a selection of good practice approaches have been adopted in preparation of this drainage design, and these are taken from the various best practice guidance documents listed below. These relate to infrastructure and operational works on forested sites, forest road design, water quality controls for linear projects, forestry road drainage and management of geotechnical risks. To achieve best practice in terms of water protection through construction management all drainage management is prepared in accordance with guidance contained in the following:

- Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government (2019): Draft Revised Wind Energy Guidelines
- Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2006): Wind Energy Development Guidelines for Planning Authorities;
- Forestry Commission (2011): Forests and Water UK Forestry Standard Guidelines, Fifth Edition. Publ. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh;



- Coillte Forest (2013): Operations and Water Protection Guidelines;
- Forest Services (Draft) Forestry and Freshwater Pearl Mussel Requirements Site Assessment and Mitigation Measures;
- Forest Service (2000): Forestry and Water Quality Guidelines. Forest Service, DAF, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- Forest Service, (2000): Code of Best Forest Practice Ireland. Forest Service, PAF, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- COFORD (2004): Forest Road Manual Guidelines for the Design, Construction and Management of Forest Roads;
- MacCulloch (2006): Guidelines for risk management of peat slips on the construction of low volume low-cost roads over peat (Frank MacCulloch Forestry Civil Engineering Forestry Commission, Scotland);
- National Roads Authority (2005): Guidelines on Procedures for Assessment and Treatment of Geology, Hydrology and Hydrogeology for National Road Schemes;
- Eastern Regional Fisheries Board: Requirements for the Protection of Fisheries Habitat during Construction and Development Works at River Sites;
- Inland Fisheries Ireland (2016): Guidelines on Protection of Fisheries during Construction Works in and Adjacent to Watercourses;
- Institute of Geologists Ireland (2013): Guidelines for Preparation of Soils, Geology & Hydrogeology Chapters in Environmental Impact Statements;
- > Scottish Natural Heritage (2019): Good Practice During Wind Farm Construction;
- Good Practice During Wind Farm Construction (Scottish Natural Heritage, 2010);
- > PPG1 General Guide to Prevention of Pollution (UK Guidance Note);
- PPG5 Works or Maintenance in or Near Watercourses (UK Guidance Note);
- CIRIA (Construction Industry Research and Information Association) 2006: Guidance on 'Control of Water Pollution from Linear Construction Projects' (CIRIA Report No. C648, 2006); and,
- CIRIA 2006: Control of Water Pollution from Construction Sites Guidance for Consultants and Contractors. CIRIA C532. London, 2006.
- DoHPLG (2018) Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment

2.4 **Drainage System**

The early establishment of the drainage measures outlined in Section 2.5, below, will manage the risk of impacts on watercourses on and adjacent to the site during construction. In addition, construction operations will adopt best working practices. The development of the site will need to be phased accordingly (as set out in Section 4.7 of Chapter 4 of the EIAR). The construction of the drainage will start from the downstream sections and progress upstream, connecting conveyance systems with other drainage features as each development phase progresses. They have therefore been designed with sufficient capacity to respond to an early phase incoming flow during the construction phase.

The implementation of a Scheduling of Works Operating Record (SOWOR) prior to commencement will provide a series of pre-commencement triggers which set out specific conditions which will be met before the commencement of works in particularly sensitive areas. These pre-commencement triggers will apply to the installation of any drainage infrastructure. An example of a SOWOR that will be developed by the Environmental Clerk of Works (ECoW) and is included in Appendix B.

The detailed drainage measures proposed to address surface water management based upon the design criteria and philosophy will be implemented. The drainage system will be excavated and constructed in conjunction with the road and hard standing construction. Drains will be excavated, and settlement ponds constructed to eliminate any suspended solids within surface water running off the site.



Surface Water Drainage Measures

Interceptor Drains 251

PRCRINED. Interceptor drains will be installed upgradient of any works areas to collect surface flow runoff and prevent it reaching excavations and construction areas of the site where it might otherwise have come into contact with exposed surfaces and picked up silt and sediment. The drains will be used to divert upslope runoff around the works area to a location where it can be redistributed over the ground surface as sheet flow. This will minimise the volume of potentially silty runoff to be managed within the construction area.

The interceptor drains will be installed in advance of any main construction works commencing. The material excavated to make the drain will be compacted on the downslope edge of the drain to form a diversion dike. On completion of the construction phase works, it is envisaged that the majority of the interceptor drains could be removed. At that stage, there will be no open excavations or large areas of exposed ground that are likely to give rise to large volumes of potentially silt-laden run off. Any areas in which works were carried out to construct roads, turbine bases or hardstands, will have been built up with large grade hardcore, which even when compacted in place, will retain sufficient void space to allow water to infiltrate the subsurface of these constructed areas. It is not anticipated that roadways or other installed site infrastructure will intercept ground-conveyed surface water runoff to any significant extent that would result in scouring or over-topping or spill over. Where the drains are to be removed, they will be backfilled with the material from the diversion dike. Interceptor drains may have to be retained in certain locations, for example where roadways are to be installed on slopes, to prevent the roadways acting of conduits for water that might infiltrate the roadway sub-base. In these cases, interceptor drains would be maintained in localised areas along the roadway with culverts under the roadway, which would allow the intercepted water to be discharged to vegetation filters downgradient of the roadway. Similarly, in localised hollows where water is likely to be funnelled at greater concentrations than on broader slopes, interceptor drains and culverts may be left in situ following construction. Figure 2 below shows an illustrative drawing of an interceptor drain.

The velocity of flow in the interceptor will be controlled by check dams (see Section 2.5.3 below), which will be installed at regular intervals along the drains to ensure flow in the channel is non-erosive. On steeper sections where erosion risks are greater, a geotextile membrane will be added to the channel.

Interceptor drains will be installed horizontally across slopes to run in parallel with the natural contour line of the slope. Intercepted water will travel along the interceptor drains to areas downgradient of works areas, where the drain will terminate at a level spreader (see Section 2.5.4 below). Across the entire length of the interceptor drains, the design elevation of the water surface along the route of the drains will not be lower than the design elevation of the water surface in the outlet at the level spreader.

Swales 2.5.2

Drainage swales are shallow drains that will be used to intercept and collect run off from construction areas of the site during the construction phase. Drainage swales will remain in place to collect runoff from roads and hardstanding areas of the Proposed Project during the operational phase. A swale is an excavated drainage channel located along the downgradient perimeter of construction areas, used to collect and carry any sediment-laden runoff to a sediment-trapping facility and stabilised outlet. Swales are proven to be most effective when a dike is installed on the downhill side. They are similar in design to interceptor drains and collector drains described above. Figure 2 below, shows an illustrative example of a drainage swale.

Drainage swales will be installed downgradient of any works areas to collect surface flow runoff where it might have come into contact with exposed surfaces and picked up silt and sediment. Swales will



intercept the potentially silt-laden water from the excavations and construction areas of the site and prevent it reaching natural watercourses.

Drainage swales will be installed in advance of any main construction works commencing. The material excavated to make the swale will be compacted on the downslope edge of the drain to form a diversion dike.

2.5.3 Check Dams

The velocity of flow in the interceptor drains and drainage swales, particularly on sloped sections of the channel, will be controlled by check dams, which will be installed at regular intervals along the drains to ensure flow in the swale is non-erosive.

Check dams will restrict flow velocity, minimise channel erosion and promote sedimentation behind the dam. The check dams will be installed as the interceptor drains are being excavated. Check dams may also be installed in some of the existing artificial drainage channels on the site, downstream of where drainage swales connect in.

The proposed check dams will be made up of straw bales or stone, or a combination of both depending on the size of the drainage swale it is being installed in. Where straw bales are to be used, they will be secured to the bottom of the drainage swale with stakes. Clean 4–6-inch stone will be built up on either side and over the straw bale to a maximum height of 600mm over the bottom of the interceptor drain. In smaller channels, a stone check dam will be installed and pressed down into place in the bottom of the drainage swale with the bucket of an excavator. Figure 2 below, shows illustrative examples of check dams.

The check dams will be installed at regular intervals along the interceptor drains to ensure the bottom elevation of the upper check dam is at the same level as the top elevation of the next down-gradient check dam in the drain. The centre of the check dam will be approximately 150mm lower than the edges to allow excess water to overtop the dam in flood conditions rather than cause upstream flooding or scouring around the dams.

Check dams will not be used in any natural watercourses, only artificial drainage channels and interceptor drains. The check dams will be left in place at the end of the construction phase to limit erosive linear flow in the drainage swales during extreme rainfall events.

Check dams are designed to reduce velocity and control erosion and are not specifically designed or intended to trap sediment, although sediment is likely to build up. If necessary, any excess sediment build up behind the dams will be removed. For this reason, check dams will be inspected and maintained regularly to insure adequate performance. Maintenance checks will also ensure the centre elevation of the dam remains lower than the sides of the dam.

2.5.4 **Level Spreader**

A level spreader will be constructed at the end of each interceptor drain to convert concentrated flows in the drain, into diffuse sheet flow on areas of vegetated ground. The levels spreaders will be located downgradient of any proposed works areas in locations where they are not likely to contribute further to water ingress to construction areas of the site.

The water carried in interceptor drains will not have come in contact with works areas of the site, and therefore should be free of silt and sediment. The level spreaders will distribute clean drainage water onto vegetated areas where the water will not be reconcentrated into a flow channel immediately below the point of discharge. The discharge point will be on level or only very gently sloping ground rather than on a steep slope so as to prevent erosion.



The slope in the channel leading into the spreader will be less than or equal to 1%. The slope downgradient of the spreader onto which the water will dissipate will have a grade of less than 6%. The availability of slopes with a grade of 6% or less will determine the locations of level spreaders. If a slope grade of less than 6% is not available in the immediate area downgradient of a works area at the end of a diversion drain, a piped slope drain (see Section 2.5.5 below) will be used to transfer the water to a suitable location.

The spreader lip over which the water will spill will be made of a concrete kerb, wooden board, pipe or other similar piece of material that can create a level edge similar in effect to a weir. The spreader will be level across the top and bottom to prevent channelised flow leaving the spreader or ponding occurring behind the spreader. The top of the spreader lip will be 150mm above the ground behind it. The length of the spreader will be a minimum of four metres and a maximum length of 25 metres, with the actual length of each spreader to be determined by the size of the contributing catchment, slope and ground conditions.

Clean four-inch stone can be placed on the outside of the spreader lip and pressed into the ground mechanically to further dissipate the flow leaving the level spreader over a larger area. An illustration of the type of the proposed level spreader is shown in Figure 2 below.

2.5.5 **Piped Slope Drains**

Piped slope drains will be used to convey surface runoff from diversion drains safely down slopes to flat areas without causing erosion. Once the runoff reaches the flat areas it will be reconverted to diffuse sheet flow. Level spreaders will only be established on slopes of less than 6% in grade. Piped slope drains will be used to transfer water away from areas where slopes are too steep to use level spreaders.

The piped slope drains will be semi-rigid corrugated pipes with a stabilised entrance and a rock apron at the outlet to trap sediment and dissipate the energy of the water. The base of drains leading into the top of the piped slope drain will be compacted and concavely formed to channel the water into the corrugated pipe. The entrance at the top of the pipe will be stabilised with sandbags if necessary. The pipe will be anchored in place by staking at approximately 3-4 metre intervals or by weighing down with compacted soil. The bottom of the pipe will be placed on a slope with a grade of less than 1% for a length of 1.5 metres, before outflowing onto a rock apron.

The rock apron at the outlet will consist of 6-inch stone to a depth equal to the diameter of the pipe, a length six times the diameter of the pipe. The width of the rock apron will be three times the diameter of the pipe where the pipe opens onto the apron and will fan out to six times the diameter of the pipe over its length.

Piped slope drains will only remain in place for the duration of the construction phase of the Proposed Project. On completion of the works, the pipes and rock aprons will be removed, and all channels backfilled with the material that was originally excavated from them.

Piped slope drains will be inspected weekly and following rainfall events by the ECoW. Inlet and outlets will be checked for sediment accumulation and blockages. Stake anchors or fill over the pipe will be checked for settlement, cracking and stability. Any seepage holes where pipe emerges from drain at the top of the pipe will be repaired promptly. An illustration of the type of proposed piped slope drain is shown in Figure 2 below.

2.5.6 **Vegetation Filters**

Vegetation filters are the existing vegetated areas of land that will be used to accept surface water runoff from upgradient areas. The selection of suitable areas to use as vegetation filters will be determined by the size of the contributing catchment, slope and ground conditions.



Vegetation filters will carry outflow from the level spreaders as overland sheet flow removing any suspended solids and discharging to the groundwater system by diffuse infiltration.

Vegetation filters will not be used in isolation for waters that are likely to have higher silt loadings. In such cases, silt-bearing water will already have passed through stilling ponds prior to diffuse discharge to the vegetation filters via a level spreader.

2.5.7 Stilling Ponds (Settlement Ponds)

Stilling ponds will be used to attenuate runoff from works areas of the site of the Proposed Project during the construction phase and will remain in place to handle runoff from roads and hardstanding areas of the Proposed Project during the operational phase. The purpose of the stilling ponds is to intercept runoff potentially laden with sediment and to reduce the amount of sediment leaving the disturbed area by reducing runoff velocity. Reducing runoff velocity will allow larger particles to settle out in the stilling ponds, before the run-off water is redistributed as diffuse sheet flow in filter strips downgradient of any works areas.


Stilling ponds will be excavated/constructed at each required location as two separate ponds in sequence, a primary pond and a secondary pond. The points at which water enters and exits the stilling ponds will be stabilised with rock aprons, which will trap sediment, dissipate the energy of the water flowing through the stilling pond system, and prevent erosion. The primary stilling pond will reduce the velocity of flows to less than 0.5 metres per second to allow settlement of silt to occur. Water will then pass from the primary pond to the secondary pond via another rock apron. The secondary stilling pond will reduce the velocity of flows to less than 0.3 metres per second. Water will flow out of the secondary stilling pond through a stone dam, partially wrapped in geo-textile membrane, which will control flow velocities and trap any sediment that has not settled out. Figure 2, below, shows an illustrative example of a stilling pond system.


Water will flow by gravity through the stilling pond system. The stilling ponds will be sized according to the size of the area they will be receiving water from but will be sufficiently large to accommodate peak flows storm events. The stilling ponds will be dimensioned so that the length to width ratio will be greater than 2:1, where the length is the distance between the inlet and the outlet. Where ground conditions allow, stilling ponds will be constructed in a wedge shape, with the inlet located at the narrow end of the wedge. Each stilling pond will be a minimum of 1-1.5 metres in depth. Deeper ponds will be used to minimise the excavation area needed for the required volume.


The embankment that forms the sloped sides of the stilling ponds will be stabilised with vegetated turves, which will have been removed during the excavation of the stilling ponds area. All material excavated during pond construction will be used locally for landscaping and berm construction around these ponds.


Stilling ponds will be located towards the end of swales, close to where the water will be reconverted to diffuse sheet flow. Upon exiting the stilling pond system, water will be immediately reconverted to diffuse flow via a fan-shaped rock apron if there is adequate space and ground conditions allow. Otherwise, a swale will be used to carry water exiting the stilling pond system to a level spreader to reconvert the flow to diffuse sheet flow.

Stilling ponds will be inspected weekly and following rainfall events. Inlet and outlets will be checked for sediment accumulation and anything else that might interfere with flows.











Stabilised Inlet Steep slope to be protested Pipe slope of 3% or steeper Stone armour apron at bottom of piped slope drain to dissipate energy

Drainage Design Measures





Checked By Niamh McHugh



2.5.8 Siltbuster

A "siltbuster" or similar equivalent piece of equipment will be available to filter any water pumped out of excavation areas, if deemed necessary by the Project Hydrologist and/or ECoW, prior to its discharge to stilling ponds or swales.

Siltbusters are mobile silt traps that can remove fine particles from water using a proven technology and hydraulic design in a rugged unit. The mobile units are specifically designed for use on construction sites.

The unit stills the incoming water/solids mix and routes it upwards between a set of inclined plates for separation. Fine particles settle onto the plates and slide down to the base for collection, whilst treated water flows to an outlet weir after passing below a scum board to retain any floating material. The inclined plates dramatically increase the effective settling area of the unit giving it a very small footprint on site and making it highly mobile. Figure 3 below shows an illustrative diagram of a Silt buster unit.

The Siltbuster units are now considered best practice for the management of dirty water pumped from construction sites. The UK Environment Agency and the Scottish Environmental Protection Agency have all recommended/specified the use of Siltbuster units on construction projects.




Figure 3: Siltbuster (Source: https://www.siltbuster.co.uk/sb prod/siltbuster-fb50-settlement-unit/)

2.5.9 Silt Bags

Dewatering silt bags allow the flow of water through them while trapping any silt or sediment suspended in the water. The silt bags provide a passive non-mechanical method of removing any remaining silt contained in the potentially silt-laden water collected from works areas within the site.



Dewatering silt bags are an additional drainage measure that can be used downgradient of the stilling ponds at the end of the drainage swale channels and will be located, wherever it is deemed appropriate by the Project Hydrologist, throughout the site. The water will flow, via a pipe, from the stilling ponds into the silt bag. The silt bag will allow the water to flow through the geotextile fabric and will trap any of the finer silt and sediment remaining in the water after it has gone through the previous drainage measures. The dewatering silt bags will ensure that there will be no loss of peaty silt into the stream.

The dewatering silt bag that will be used will be 3 meters in width by 4.5 meters (see Plate 1 and Plate below) in length and will be capable of trapping approximately four tonnes of silt. The dewatering silt bag, when full, will be removed from site by a waste contractor with the necessary waste collection permit, who will then transport the silt bag to an appropriate, fully licensed waste facility.







Plate 2 Silt bag with water being pumped through

2.5.10 **Sedimats**

Sediment entrapment mats, consisting of coir or jute matting, will be placed at the outlet of the silt bag to provide further treatment of the water outfall from the silt bag. Sedimats will be secured to the ground surface using stakes/pegs. The sedimat will extend to the full width of the outfall to ensure all water passes through this additional treatment measure as shown in Plate 3 below.



Plate 3: Typical Sedimat Details (Source: https://www.hy-tex.co.uk/)

2.5.11 Culverts

All new proposed culverts and proposed culvert upgrades will be suitably sized for the expected peak flows in the watercourse.

Some culverts may be installed to manage drainage waters from works areas of the Proposed Project, particularly where the waters have to be taken from one side of an existing roadway to the other for



discharge. The size of culverts will be influenced by the depth of the track or road sub-base. In some cases, two or more smaller diameter culverts may be used where this depth is limited, though this will be avoided as they will have a higher associated risk of blockage than a single, larger pipe. In all cases, culverts will be oversized to allow mammals to pass through the culvert.

Culverts will be installed with a minimum internal gradient of 1% (1 in 100). Smaller culverts will have a smooth internal surface. Larger culverts may have corrugated surfaces which will trap silt and contribute to the stream ecosystem. Depending on the management of water on the downstream side of the culvert, large stone may be used to interrupt the flow of water. This will help dissipate its energy and help prevent problems of erosion. Smaller water crossings will simply consist of an appropriately sized pipe buried in the sub-base of the road at the necessary invert level to ensure ponding or pooling does not occur above or below the culvert and water can continue to flow as necessary.

All culverts will be inspected regularly to ensure they are not blocked by debris, vegetation or any other material that may impede conveyance.

2.5.12 Silt Fences

Silt fences will be installed as an additional water protection measure around existing watercourses in certain locations, particularly where works are proposed within the 50-metre buffer zone of a stream or 100m buffer zone of a lake, which is inevitable where existing roads in proximity to watercourses are to be upgraded as part of the Proposed Project. These areas include around existing culverts, around the headwaters of watercourses, and the proposed locations are indicated on the drainage design drawings included in Appendix 9-1 to the EIAR and Appendix A to this SWMP.

Silt fences will be installed as single, double or a series of triple silt fences, depending on the space available and the anticipated sediment loading. The silt fence designs follow the technical guidance document 'Control of Water Pollution from Linear Construction Projects' published by Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA, No. C648, 1996). Up to three silt fences may be deployed in series.

All silt fencing will be formed using Terrastop Premium or equivalent silt fence product.

Silt fences will be inspected regularly to ensure water is continuing to flow through the fabric, and the fence is not coming under strain from water backing up behind it.

The silt fence details are shown below in Plate 4.





Plate 4: Typical Silt Fence Details

2.5.13 **Hydrocarbon Interceptors**

A hydrocarbon (or petrol) interceptor is a trap used to filter out hydrocarbons from surface water runoff. A suitably sized hydrocarbon interceptor will be installed wherever it is intended to store hydrocarbons and oils (i.e., construction compounds and substation compound) or where it is proposed to park vehicles during the construction and operational phases of the Proposed Project (i.e., construction compounds and substation compound).

2.5.14 Forestry Felling Drainage

As part of the Proposed Project, tree felling will be required within and around Proposed Wind Farm footprint to allow for the construction of the turbine bases, access roads underground cabling, and the other ancillary infrastructure.

Tree stumps will only be removed in areas around the Proposed Wind Farm footprint. During tree felling there is a potential to generate silts and sediments in surface water runoff due to tracking of machinery and disturbance of the ground surface etc, however mitigation is provided in Section 9.5.2 of Chapter 9 Water with regard surface water quality protection for this activity which is summarised below. Also, prior to the commencement of tree felling for subsequent road construction the following key temporary drainage measures will be installed:

- All existing dry forestry drains that intercept the proposed works area will be temporarily blocked down-gradient of the works using forestry check dams/silt traps;
- > Clean water diversion drains will be installed upgradient of the works areas;



- Check dams/silt fence arrangements (silt traps) will be placed in all existing forestry drains that have surface water flows and also along existing forestry roadside drains; and
- A double silt fence perimeter will be placed down-slope of works areas that are located inside the watercourse 50m buffer zone.

Before the commencement of any felling works, an Environmental Clerk of Works (ECoW) shall be appointed to oversee the keyhole and extraction works. The ECoW shall be experienced and competent, and shall have the following functions and operate their record using a Schedule of Works Operation Record (SOWOR), as proposed in the planning application:

- Attend the site for the setup period when drainage protection works are being installed and be present on site during the remainder of the forestry keyhole felling works.
- Prior to the commencement of works, review and agreement of the positioning by the Operator of the required Aquatic Buffer Zones (ABZs), silt traps, silt fencing (see below), water crossings and onsite storage facilities for fuel, oil and chemicals (see further below).
- Be responsible for preparing and delivering the Environmental Tool Box Talk (TBT) to all relevant parties involved in site operations, prior to the commencement of the works.
- Conduct daily and weekly inspections of all water protection measures and visually assess their integrity and effectiveness in accordance with Section 3.4 (Monitoring and Recording) and Appendix 3 (Site Monitoring Form (Visual Inspections)) of the Forestry & Freshwater Pearl Mussel Requirements.
- Take representative photographs showing the progress of operation onsite, and the integrity and effectiveness of the water protection measures.
- Collect water samples for analysis by a 3rd party accredited laboratory, adhering to the following requirements:
- Surface water samples shall be collected upstream and downstream of the keyhole felling site at suitable sampling locations.
- > Sampling shall be taken from the stream / riverbank, with no in-stream access permitted.
- The following minimum analytical suite shall be used: pH, EC, TSS, BOD, Total P, Ortho-P, Total N, and Ammonia.
- Review of operator's records for plant inspections, evidence of contamination and leaks, and drainage checks made after extreme weather conditions.
- Prepare and maintain a contingency plan.
- Suspend work where potential risk to water from siltation and pollution is identified, or where operational methods and mitigation measures are not specified or agreed.
- Prepare and maintain a Water Protection Measure Register. This document is to be updated weekly by the ECoW.

To protect watercourses, the following measures will be adhered to during all keyhole/tree felling activities.

- All relevant measures, best practice methods and requirements set out Section 9.5.2 in Chapter 9 of the EIAR will be adhered to including Forestry & Water Quality Guidelines, Forest Harvesting & the Environment Guidelines and the Forest Protection Guidelines.
- The extent of all necessary tree felling will be identified and demarcated with markings on the ground in advance of any felling commencing.
- All roads and culverts will be inspected prior to any machinery being brought on site to commence the felling operation. No tracking of vehicles through watercourses will occur. Vehicles will only use existing road infrastructure and established watercourse crossings.



- Existing drains that drain an area to be felled towards surface watercourses will be blocked, and temporary silt traps will be constructed to ensure deflection of all silt within felling areas. These temporary silt traps will be cleaned out and backfilled once felling works are complete. This ensures there is no residual collected silt remaining in blocked drains after felling works are completed. No direct discharge of such drains to watercourses will occur from within felling areas.
- New collector drains and sediment traps will be installed during ground preparation to intercept water upgradient of felling areas and divert it away. Collector drains will be excavated at an acute angle to the contour (0.3%-3% gradient), to minimise flow velocities.
- All silt traps will be sited outside of buffer zones and have no direct outflow into the aquatic zone. Machine access will be maintained to enable the accumulated sediment to be excavated. Sediment will be carefully disposed of away from all aquatic zones.
- All new collector drains will taper out before entering the aquatic buffer zone to ensures the discharging water gently fans out over the buffer zone before entering the aquatic zone.
- Machine combinations, such as mechanical harvesters or chainsaw felling will be chosen which are most suitable for ground conditions at the time of felling, and which will minimise soils disturbance.
- Mechanised operations will be suspended during and immediately after heavy rainfall.
- Where brash is required to form brash mats, it is to be laid out at harvesting stage to prevent soil disturbance by machine movement.
- Brash which has not been pushed into the soil may be moved within the site to facilitate the creation of mats in more demanding locations.
- Felling of trees will be pointed directionally away from watercourses.
- Felling will be planned to minimise the number of machine passes in any one area.
- Extraction routes, and hence brash mats, will be aligned parallel to the ground contours where possible.
- Harvested timber will be stacked in dry areas, and outside any 50-metre watercourse buffer zone. Straw bales and check dams to be emplaced on the down gradient side of timber storage sites.

Branches, logs or debris will not be allowed to build up in aquatic zones. All such material will be removed when harvesting operations have been completed, but removing of natural debris deflectors will be avoided.

2.5.15 Cable Trench Drainage

Cable trenches are developed in short sections, thereby minimising the amount of ground disturbed at any one time and minimising the potential for drainage runoff to pick up silt or suspended solids. Each short section of trench is excavated, ducting installed and bedded, and backfilled with the appropriate materials, before work on the next section commences.

To efficiently control drainage runoff from cable trench works areas, excavated material is stored on the upgradient side of the trench. Should any rainfall cause runoff from the excavated material, the material is contained in the downgradient cable trench. Excess subsoil is removed from the cable trench works area immediately upon excavation, and in the case of the Proposed Project, would be used for landscaping and reinstatements of other areas elsewhere on site or disposed off-site at an appropriate licensed soil recovery facility.

On steeper slopes, silt fences, as detailed in Section 4.7.4.12, above, will be installed temporarily downgradient of the cable trench works area, or on the downhill slope below where excavated material is being temporarily stored to control run-off.



3.1

3.3

SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE **MANAGEMENT**

Introduction

PRICEINED: 29/08/2024 The following sections set out the drainage management arrangements in terms of pre-construction, construction and operational phases of the Proposed Project.

Good Environmental Management During 3.2 Construction

Timing of works can strongly influence the potential for damaging the freshwater environment. Operations during wetter periods of the year pose a significantly greater risk of causing erosion and siltation, which can be particularly severe following major rainfall or snowmelt events. Traditionally, wind farm construction undertaken during the drier summer months would result in significantly less erosion and siltation. Construction activities in the hydrological buffer zones shall be avoided during or after prolonged rainfall or an intense rainfall event and work will cease entirely near watercourses when it is evident that water quality is being impacted. Given that this site has an established drainage network and 4 no. proposed new watercourse crossing point, there will be minimal impacts on watercourses.

Drainage Measure Implementation and Management

Proposed Drainage Management 3.3.1

Runoff control and drainage management are key elements in terms of mitigation against impacts on surface water bodies. Two distinct methods will be employed to manage drainage water within the Proposed Project. The first method involves 'keeping clean water clean' by avoiding disturbance to existing drainage features, minimising any works in or around artificial drainage features, and diverting clean surface water flow around excavations, construction areas and temporary storage areas. The second method involves collecting any drainage waters from works areas within the site that might carry silt or sediment, and nutrients, to route them towards new proposed silt traps and settlement ponds (or stilling ponds) prior to controlled diffuse release into the existing drainage network. There will be no direct discharges to the existing forestry drains.

During the construction phase, all runoff from works areas (i.e., dirty water) will be slowed down and treated to a high quality prior to being released. A schematic of the proposed site drainage management is shown as Figure 4 below. A detailed drainage plan showing the layout of the proposed drainage design elements is shown in Appendix 9-1 of the EIAR.






Figure 4 Schematic of Proposed Project site Drainage Management

3.3.2 **Pre-Construction Drainage**

Prior to commencement of works in sub-catchments across the Proposed Project site, main drain inspections will be competed to ensure ditches and streams are free from debris and blockages that may impede drainage. It is proposed to complete these inspections on a catchment-by-catchment basis as the construction works develop across the site, as works in all areas will not commence simultaneously.

Drainage and associated pollution control measures will be implemented onsite before the main construction works commence. Where possible drainage controls will be installed during seasonally dry ground conditions. This will reduce the possibility of impact on surface waters by suspended sediment released during construction and entrained in surface run-off.

The routes of any natural drainage features will not be altered as part of the Proposed Project. Turbine locations have been selected to avoid natural watercourses. It is proposed that 4 no. new watercourse crossing, , will be required to facilitate the Proposed Project infrastructure.

There will be no direct discharges to natural watercourses. All discharges from the proposed works areas or from interceptor drains will be made over vegetated ground at an appropriate distance from natural watercourse and lakes. Buffer zones around the existing natural drainage features have informed the layout of the Proposed Project and are indicated on the drainage design drawings.

Where artificial drains are currently in place in the vicinity of proposed works areas, these drains may have to be diverted around the proposed works areas to minimise the amount of water in the vicinity of works areas. Where it may not be possible to divert artificial drains around proposed work areas, the drains will be blocked to ensure sediment laden water from the works areas has no direct route to other watercourses. Where drains have to be blocked, the blocking will only take place after an alternative drainage system to handle the same water has been put in place.

Existing artificial drains in the vicinity of existing Proposed Project site roads will be maintained in their present location where possible. If it is expected that these artificial drains will receive drainage water from works areas, check dams will be added (as specified below) to control flows and sediment loads in these existing artificial drains. If road widening or improvement works are necessary along the existing roads, where possible, the works will take place on the opposite side of the road to the drain.



3.3.3 Construction Phase Drainage

The Project Hydrologist will attend the Proposed Project site to set out and assist with the implementation of the proposed drainage controls as outlined in Section 4.6 of Chapter 4 of this EIAR and shown in the drainage design drawings included with this planning application Appendix 9-1. The drainage system will be excavated and constructed in conjunction with the road and hard standing construction. Drains will be excavated, and stilling ponds constructed to eliminate any suspended so that within surface water running off the site.

The implementation of a SOWOR will continue through the construction phase of the Proposed Project. The SOWOR provides a number of abandonment triggers which will ensure that site management are well informed as to the level of incident that will require abandonment of works. The various triggers, both pre commencement and abandonment ensure best practice in terms of water quality management is maintained prior to commencement and during the various felling and construction phases.

Best practice and practical experience on other similar projects suggest that in addition to the drainage plans that are included in the EIAR, there are additional site-based decisions and plans that can only be made in the field through interaction between the Site Construction Manager, the Project Hydrologist and the Project Geotechnical Engineers. The mechanisms for interaction between these are outlined within Section 4.1 of the CEMP.

In relation to decisions that are made on site it is important to stress that these will be implemented in line with the associated drainage mitigation and monitoring measures outlined in Section 7 and 8 of the CEMP, and to ensure protection of all watercourses.

3.3.3.1 Preparative Site Drainage Management

All materials and equipment necessary to implement the drainage measures outlined above will be brought on-site in advance of any works commencing.

An adequate quantity of straw bales, clean stone, terram, stakes, etc. will be kept on site at all times to implement the drainage design measures as necessary. The drainage measures outlined in the above will be installed prior to, or at the same time as the works they are intended to drain.

3.3.3.2 Pre-emptive Site Drainage Management

The works programme for the felling operations will also take account of weather forecasts and predicted rainfall in particular. Operations will be suspended or scaled back if heavy rain is forecast. The extent to which works will be scaled back or suspended will relate directly to the amount of rainfall forecast.

The following forecasting systems are available and will be used on a daily/weekly basis, as required, to allow site staff to direct proposed and planned construction activities:

- General Forecasts: Available on a national, regional and county level from the Met Éireann website (<u>www.met.ie/forecasts</u>). These provide general information on weather patterns including rainfall, wind speed and direction but do not provide any quantitative rainfall estimates;
- MeteoAlarm: Alerts to the possible occurrence of severe weather for the next 2 days. Less useful than general forecasts as only available on a provincial scale;
- 3-hour Rainfall Maps: Forecast quantitative rainfall amounts for the next 3 hours but does not account for possible heavy localised events;
- Rainfall Radar Images: Images covering the entire country are freely available from the Met Éireann website (www.met.ie/latest/rainfall_radar.asp). The images are a



composite of radar data from Shannon and Dublin airports and give a picture of current rainfall extent and intensity. Images show a quantitative measure of recent rainfall. A 3-hour record is given and is updated every 15 minutes. Radar images are not predictive; and,

Consultancy Service: Met Éireann provide a 24-hour telephone consultancy service. The forecaster will provide an interpretation of weather data and give the best available forecast for the area of interest.

Using the safe threshold rainfall values will allow planned works to be safely executed (from a water quality perspective) in the event of forecasting of an impending high rainfall intensity event.

Works will be suspended if forecasting suggests any of the following is likely to occur:

- > 10 mm/hr (i.e. high intensity local rainfall events);
- >25 mm in a 24-hour period (heavy frontal rainfall lasting most of the day); or,
- >half monthly average rainfall in any 7 days.

Prior to works being suspended the following control measures shall be completed:

- Secure all open excavations;
- Provide temporary or emergency drainage to prevent back-up of surface runoff; and,
- Avoid working during heavy rainfall (listed above) and for up to 24 hours after heavy events to ensure drainage systems are not overloaded.

3.3.3.3 Reactive Site Drainage Management

The effectiveness of drainage measures designed to minimise runoff entering works areas and capture and treat potentially silt-laden water from the works areas, will be monitored continuously by the ECoW on-site. The ECoW or project hydrologist will respond to changing weather, ground or drainage conditions on the ground as the project proceeds, to ensure the effectiveness of the drainage design is maintained. This may require the installation of additional check dams, interceptor drains or swales as deemed necessary on-site. The drainage design may have to be modified on the ground as necessary following a confirmatory inspection by the project hydrologist, and the modifications will draw on the various features outlined above, in Section 2.5, in whatever combinations are deemed to be most appropriate to the situation on the ground at a particular time.

In the unlikely event that works are giving rise to siltation of watercourses, the ECoW or Project Hydrologist will stop all works in the immediate area around where the siltation is evident. The source of the siltation will be identified and additional drainage measures, as outlined in Section 2.5 above, will be installed in advance of works recommencing.

3.3.4 Operational Phase Drainage Management

The Project Hydrologist will inspect and review the drainage system after construction has been completed to provide guidance on the requirements of an operational phase drainage system. This operational phase drainage system will have been installed during the construction phase in conjunction with the road and hardstanding construction work as described above and in Section 4.7 and Section 4.8 of the EIAR.

The drainage system will be monitored in the operational phase until such a time that all areas that have been reinstated become re-vegetated and the natural drainage regime has been restored.

The drainage system will not be altered upon decommissioning. Measures which will be implemented to ensure no impacts upon the drainage system during decommissioning will be outlined within the



Decommissioning Plan (Appendix 4-6) and fully agreed with the local authority prior to any decommissioning works.

This operational phase drainage system will have been installed during the construction phase in conjunction with the road and hardstanding construction work as described below:

- Interceptor drains will be installed up-gradient of all proposed infrastructure to collect clean surface runoff, in order to minimise the amount of runoff reaching areas where suspended sediment could become entrained. It will then be directed to areas where it can be re-distributed over the ground by means of a level spreader;
- Swales/road side drains will be used to collect runoff from access roads and turbine hardstanding areas of the site, likely to have entrained suspended sediment, and channel it to settlement ponds for sediment settling;
- On steep sections of access road transverse drains ('grips') will be constructed in the surface layer of the road to divert any runoff off the road into swales/road side drains;
- Check dams will be used along sections of access road drains to intercept silts at source. Check dams will be constructed from a 4/40mm non-friable crushed rock;
- > Settlement ponds, emplaced downstream of road swale sections and at turbine locations, will buffer volumes of runoff discharging from the drainage system during periods of high rainfall, by retaining water until the storm hydrograph has receded, thus reducing the hydraulic loading to watercourses; and,
- > Settlement ponds have been designed in consideration of the greenfield runoff rate.

In the operational phase of the Proposed Wind Farm, the reliance on the drainage system summarised above will become reduced as areas naturally revegetate. Once areas revegetate, this will result in a resumption of the natural drainage management that will have existed prior to any construction.

Activity Specific Drainage Control and Mitigation Measures

3.4.1 **Keyhole Forestry Felling**

Tree felling will be required within the Proposed Project site to allow for the construction of the turbine bases, access road's underground cabling, and the other ancillary infrastructure. The commercial forestry felling activities required as part of the Proposed Project will be the subject of a Limited Felling Licence (LFL) application to the Forest Service in accordance with the Forestry Act 2014 and the Forestry Regulations 2017 (SI 191/2017) and as per the Forest Service's policy on granting felling licenses for wind farm developments.

Mitigation measures will reduce the risk of entrainment of suspended solids and nutrient release in surface watercourses. These measures are derived from best practice guidance documents as outlined in Section 2.3 above.

Tree felling to facilitate the Proposed Wind Farm will not be undertaken simultaneously with construction groundworks. Keyhole felling to facilitate construction works will take place prior to groundworks commencing. During tree felling there is a potential to generate silts and sediments in surface water runoff due to tracking of machinery and disturbance of the ground surface etc, however mitigation is provided in Chapter 9 Water with regard surface water quality protection for this activity which is summarised below.

Water protection measures will reduce the risk of entrainment of suspended solids and nutrient release in surface watercourses. These measures are derived from best practice guidance documents as outlined in Section 2.3 above. Mitigation measures which will reduce the risk of entrainment of suspended solids and nutrient release in surface watercourses comprise best practice methods which are set out as follows:



- Machine combinations (i.e. handheld or mechanical) will be chosen which are most suitable for ground conditions and which will minimise soils disturbance;
- All machinery will be operated by suitably qualified personnel;
- Checking and maintenance of roads and culverts will be on-going through any felling operation. No tracking of vehicle through watercourses will occur, as vehicles will use road infrastructure and existing watercourse crossing points. Where possible, existing drains will not be disturbed during felling works;
- Machines will traverse the site along specified off-road routes (referred to as racks);
- The location of racks will be chosen to avoid wet and potentially sensitive areas;
- Brash mats will be placed on the racks to support the vehicles on soft ground, reducing peat and mineral soil disturbance and erosion and avoiding the formation of rutted areas, in which surface water ponding can occur. Brash mat renewal should take place when they become heavily used and worn. Provision should be made for brash mats along all off-road routes, to protect the soil from compaction and rutting. Where there is risk of severe erosion occurring, extraction will be suspended during periods of high rainfall;
- Silt fences will be installed at the outfalls of existing drains downstream of felling areas. No direct discharge of such drains to watercourses will occur. Sediment traps and silt fences will be installed in advance of any felling works and will provide surface water settlement for runoff from work areas and will prevent sediment from entering downstream watercourses. Accumulated sediment will be carefully disposed of at pre-selected peat disposal areas. Where possible, all new silt traps will be constructed on even ground and not on sloping ground;
- In areas particularly sensitive to erosion it will be necessary to install double or triple sediment traps and increase buffer zone width. These measures will be reviewed on site during construction;
- Double silt fencing will also be put down slope of felling areas which are located in close proximity to streams and/or relevant watercourses;
- > Drains and silt traps will be maintained throughout all felling works, ensuring that they are clear of sediment build-up and are not severely eroded;
- Timber will be stacked in dry areas, and outside watercourse buffer zones. Straw bales and check dams to be emplaced on the down gradient side of timber storage/processing sites;
- Works will be carried out during periods of no, or low rainfall, in order to minimise entrainment of exposed sediment in surface water runoff;
- Refuelling or maintenance of machinery will not occur within 50m of an aquatic zone or within 20m of any other hydrological feature. Mobile bowser, drip kits, qualified personnel will be used where refuelling is required; and,
- Branches, logs or debris will not be allowed to build up in aquatic zones. All such material will be removed when harvesting operations have been completed, but care will be taken to avoid removing natural debris deflectors.

Minimum buffer zones will be applied from all sensitive hydrological features, ensuring that adequate room is maintained for the proposed mitigation measures detailed above to be properly installed and operate effectively. Please see Table 1 below for the minimum buffer zone widths that will be applied on site.

Table 3-1 Minimum Buffer Zone Widths (Forest Service, 2000)

Average slope leading to the aquatic zone		Buffer zone width on either side of the	Buffer zone width for highly erodible soils
		aquatic zone	
Moderate	(0 - 15%)	10 m	15 m
Steep	(15 – 30%)	15 m	20 m
Very steep	(>30%)	20 m	25 m



The buffer/setback zone will:

- Avoid physical damage (river/stream banks and river/stream beds) towatercourses and the associated release of sediment;
- Avoid peat/soil disturbance and compaction within close proximity to surface watercourses;
- Avoid the entry of suspended sediment from works into watercourses; and,
- Avoid the entry of suspended sediment from the drainage system into watercourses achieved in part by ending drain discharge outside the buffer zone and allowing percolation across the vegetation of the buffer zone.

3.4.1.1 Tree Felling Drainage Management

Before the commencement of any felling works, an ECoW shall be appointed to oversee the keyhole and extraction works. The EcoW shall be experienced and competent, and shall have the following functions and operate their record using a SOWOR, as proposed in the planning application:

- Attend the site for the setup period when drainage protection works are being installed and be present on site during the remainder of the forestry keyhole felling works.
- Prior to the commencement of works, review and agreement of the positioning by the Operator of the required Aquatic Buffer Zones (ABZs), silt traps, silt fencing (see below), water crossings and onsite storage facilities for fuel, oil and chemicals (see further below).
- Be responsible for preparing and delivering the Environmental Tool Box Talk (TBT) to all relevant parties involved in site operations, prior to the commencement of the works.
- Conduct daily and weekly inspections of all water protection measures and visually assess their integrity and effectiveness in accordance with Section 3.4 (Monitoring and Recording) and Appendix 3 (Site Monitoring Form (Visual Inspections)) of the Forestry & Freshwater Pearl Mussel Requirements.
- Take representative photographs showing the progress of operation onsite, and the integrity and effectiveness of the water protection measures.
- Collect water samples for analysis by a 3rd party accredited laboratory, adhering to the following requirements:
- Surface water samples shall be collected upstream and downstream of the keyhole felling site at suitable sampling locations.
- Sampling shall be taken from the stream / riverbank, with no in-stream access permitted.
- The following minimum analytical suite shall be used: pH, EC, TSS, BOD, Total P, Ortho-P, Total N, and Ammonia.
- Review of operator's records for plant inspections, evidence of contamination and leaks, and drainage checks made after extreme weather conditions.
- Prepare and maintain a contingency plan.
- Suspend work where potential risk to water from siltation and pollution is identified, or where operational methods and mitigation measures are not specified or agreed.
- Prepare and maintain a Water Protection Measure Register. This document is to be updated weekly by the EcoW.



Peat and Spoil Management Area Drainage and Management of Runoff

It is proposed to manage any excess overburden generated through construction activities within the Proposed Project site, through deposition in the borrow pit, landscaping proposals, side-casting of materials along proposed infrastructure, and through the reuse of suitable materials as fill volume.

As rock is removed from the borrow pit, it is proposed to backfill the borrow pit area with excavated peat and spoil generated from the cut exercise. The excavated rock from the borrow pit will be used in the construction of the infrastructure elements (turbine foundations, hardstands, access roads, etc.) at the wind farm. The contractor excavating the rock will be required to develop the borrow pit in a way which will allow the excavated peat and spoil to be placed safely. It is proposed to construct cells within the borrow pit for the placement of the excavated peat and spoil. This is to allow for the safe placement and grading of the peat and spoil using dumper trucks and excavators

Prior to stripping of peaty topsoil, a cut-off drain will first be excavated upslope of the borrow pit, as shown on in Appendix 4-2, Peat and Spoil Management Plan, in order to intercept existing overland flows and divert them around the borrow pit prior to discharge via a buffer zone on the downslope side. The shallow peat overburden will then be stripped and temporarily stockpiled; vegetated-side upwards where possible, forming a berm around the borrow pit in order for it to be re-used in its reinstatement on completion. Any subsoil material overlying the rock will then be excavated and stockpiled separately from the peat. The stockpile will be sealed, and a perimeter drain installed to intercept any run-off so that it can be discharged through an appropriately designed silt trap.

To effectively manage potential effects from borrow pit activities, a series of open drains will be constructed within the area to isolate runoff containing increased concentrations of suspended solids. The drainage system, comprised of check dams, will attenuate the flow and provide additional storage capacity during exceptional rainfall events. This design will prevent contaminated runoff from mixing with clean catchment runoff.

Settlement ponds will be implemented as an additional mitigation measure. These ponds have been designed with a modular approach to accommodate varying runoff volumes. In the event that larger areas of runoff need to be treated at a single discharge point, the size of the settlement pond can be increased proportionately.

Post-construction, the borrow pit area will be permanently secured. A stock-proof fence will be erected around the borrow pit perimeters to prevent access. Appropriate health and safety signage will also be erected on the fencing and at locations around the fenced area.

Proposed surface water quality protection measures regarding the borrow pit are as follows:

- During the initial emplacement of peat and subsoil at the borrow pit, silt fences, straw bales and biodegradable matting will be used to control surface water runoff from the enclosure.
- The borrow pit is an enclosed area. Its drainage can be easily managed.
- Drainage from the borrow pit will be pumped to settlement ponds as required or will overflow through controlled overflow pipes.
- Discharge or pumping will be intermittent and will depend on preceding rainfall amounts.
- Once the borrow pit has been seeded and vegetation is established the risk to downstream surface water is significantly reduced.

Therefore, at each stage of the peat and spoil management development the above mitigation measures will be deployed to ensure protection of downstream water quality.



The repository area settlement ponds have been designed to allow a 24hr retention time as per EPA guidance (2006) which is highest level of protection recommended by the EPA with regard to retention time. The supporting design calculations for all settlement ponds are included on Drawing D501 included in Appendix 4-8.

3.4.3

Cable Trench Drainage

Cable trenches are developed in short sections, thereby minimising the amount of ground disturbed at the restantial for drainage runoff to pick up silt or suspended solids. Each any one time and minimising the potential for drainage runoff to pick up silt or suspended solids. Each short section of trench is excavated, ducting installed and bedded, and backfilled with the appropriate materials, before work on the next section commences.

To efficiently control drainage runoff from cable trench works areas, excavated material is stored on the upgradient side of the trench. Should any rainfall cause runoff from the excavated material, the material is contained in the downgradient cable trench. Excess subsoil is removed from the cable trench works area immediately upon excavation, and in the case of the Proposed Project, would be used for landscaping and reinstatements of other areas elsewhere on site or disposed off-site at an appropriate licensed soil recovery facility.

On steeper slopes, silt fences, as detailed in Section 4.7.4.12 of Chapter 4, will be installed temporarily downgradient of the cable trench works area, or on the downhill slope below where excavated material is being temporarily stored to control run-off.

Refuelling, Fuel and Hazardous Materials Storage 3.4.4

Wherever possible, vehicles will be refuelled off-site. This will be the case for regular, road-going vehicles. However, for construction machinery that will be based on-site continuously, a limited amount of fuel will have to be stored on site in appropriately bunded containers.

On-site refuelling of machinery will be carried out at dedicated refuelling locations using a mobile double skinned fuel bowser. The fuel bowser, a double-axle custom-built refuelling trailer will be refilled off site and will be towed around the Proposed Project site by a 4x4 jeep to where machinery is located. It is not practical for all vehicles to travel back to a single refuelling point, given the size of the cranes, excavators, etc. that will be used during the construction of the Proposed Project. The 4x4 jeep will also carry fuel absorbent material and pads in the event of any accidental spillages. The fuel bowser will be parked on a level area in the construction compound when not in use.

Only designated trained and competent operatives will be authorised to refuel plant on site. Mobile measures such as drip trays, spill kits and fuel absorbent mats will be available if necessary, during all refuelling operations. The following mitigation measures are proposed to avoid release of hydrocarbons at the site:

- Road-going vehicles will be refuelled off site wherever possible;
- Fuels volumes stored on site should be minimised. Any fuel storage areas will be bunded appropriately for the fuel storage volume for the time period of the construction and fitted with a storm drainage system and an appropriate oil
- The electrical substation compound will be bunded appropriately to the volume of oils likely to be stored, and to prevent leakage to groundwater or surface water. The bunded area will be fitted with a storm drainage system and an appropriate oil
- Oils or fuels stored in turbines will be placed within an appropriately sized bunded unit to prevent leakage to groundwater or surface water;
- The plant used will be regularly inspected for leaks and fitness for purpose; and,



- > An emergency plan for the construction phase to deal with accidental spillages will be developed (refer to Section 6 of this CEMP). Spill kits will be available to deal with and accidental spillage in and outside the refuelling area.
- A programme for the regular inspection of plant and equipment for leaks and fitness .79/00/2024 for purpose will be developed at the outset of the construction phase.

Cement Based Products Control Measures 3.4.5

Concrete and other cement-based products are highly alkaline and corrosive and can have significant negative impacts on water quality. They generate very fine, highly alkaline silt (pH 11.5) that can physically damage fish by burning their skin and blocking their gills.

The following mitigation measures are proposed to avoid release of cement leachate from the Proposed Project site:

- No batching of wet-cement products will occur on the site/along the underground electrical cabling route works or near other ancillary construction activities.
- Ready-mixed supply of wet concrete products and where possible, emplacement of pre-cast elements, will take place;
- Where possible pre-cast elements for culverts and concrete works will be used;
- No washing out of any plant used in concrete transport or concreting operations will be allowed on-site;
- Where concrete is delivered on-site, only the chute will need to be cleaned, using the smallest volume of water possible. No discharge of cement contaminated waters to the construction phase drainage system or directly to any artificial drain or watercourse will be allowed. Chute cleaning water is to be directed into a dedicated concrete wash out pit. Decommissioning of this pit will occur at the end of the construction phase and water and solids will be tanked and removed from the site to a suitable, non-polluting, discharge location;
- All concrete will be paced in shuttering and will not be in contact with soils or groundwater until after it has set;
- Use weather forecasting to plan dry days for pouring concrete; and,
- Ensure pour site is free of standing water and plastic covers will be ready in case of sudden rainfall event.
- The arrangements for concrete deliveries to the site will be discussed with suppliers before work starts, agreeing routes, prohibiting on-site washout of trucks and discussing emergency procedures.
- Ready-mixed supply of wet concrete products and where possible, emplacement of pre-cast elements, will take place. Where possible pre-cast elements for culverts and concrete works will be used.

The 50m wide watercourse buffer zone will be in place for the duration of the construction phase. No construction activity will occur within the buffer zone with the exception of clear span crossing/box culvert construction and road upgrade works. The buffer zone will:

- Prevent any cement-based products accidentally entrained in the construction phase drainage system entering directly into watercourses, achieved in part by ending drain discharge outside the 50 m buffer zone and allowing percolation across the vegetation of the buffer zone;
- Provide a buffer against accidental direct pollution of surface waters by any pollutants, or by pollutants entrained in surface water run-off.



Construction Phase Drainage Inspections and Maintenance

Drainage performance will form part of the civil works contract requirements. During the construction phase the effectiveness of drainage measures designed to minimise runoff entering works areas and capture and treatment of potentially silt-laden water from the works areas will be monitored periodically (daily, weekly, and event-based monitoring, i.e. after heavy rainfall events) by the ECoW and/or the Project Hydrologist. The ECoW will respond to changing weather and drainage conditions on the ground as the project proceeds, to ensure the effectiveness of the drainage design is maintained.

Prior to the commencement of construction an inspection and maintenance plan for the on-site drainage system will be prepared by the ECoW in consultation with the Project Hydrologist. Regular inspections of all installed drainage systems will be undertaken, especially after heavy rainfall, to check for blockages, and ensure there is no build-up of standing water in parts of the systems where it is not intended.

Any excess build-up of silt levels at check dams, the settlement ponds, or any other drainage features that may decrease the effectiveness of the drainage feature, will be removed.

The following periodic inspection regime will be implemented:

- Daily general visual inspections at pre-determined locations, as chosen by the Project Hydrologist and by ECoW;
- Weekly (existing & new drains) inspections of all drainage measures by the ECoW and/or the site Construction Manager;
- Inspection to include all elements of drainage systems and all water quality monitoring. Inspections required to ensure that drainage systems are operating correctly and to identify any maintenance that is required. Any changes, such as discolouration, odour, oily sheen or litter shall be noted, and corrective action shall be implemented. High risk locations such as settlement ponds will be inspected daily by the ECoW. Daily inspections checks will be completed on plant and equipment, and whether materials such as silt fencing or oil absorbent materials need replacement;
- Event based inspections by the ECoW as follows:
- >10 mm/hr (i.e. high intensity localised rainfall event);
- >25 mm in a 24-hour period (heavy frontal rainfall lasting most of the day); or,
- Rainfall depth greater than monthly average in 7 days (prolonged heavy rainfall over a week).
- Monthly site inspections of the drainage measures by the Project Hydrologist during construction phase; and,
- Quarterly site inspections of the drainage measures by the Project Hydrologist after construction for a period of one year following the construction phase.
- A written record will be maintained or available on-site of all construction phase monitoring undertaken.

The abandonment triggers as set out in the SOWOR will be adopted as part of drainage inspections to ensure that any of the conditions prescribed under any abandonment trigger does not exist at the locations under inspection.



SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING This section of the SWMP sets out the programme for water quality monitoring during the pre-

construction, construction, commissioning and operational phases of the Proposed Wind Farm.

The surface water quality monitoring programme combines the use of laboratory analysis, water quality monitoring instrumentation and visual inspection to develop a comprehensive schedule of monitoring of all watercourses that exist both at the site and the surrounding area. The information collected by this schedule of water monitoring, particularly the continuous turbidity monitoring will inform the precommencement triggers in the SOWOR before works commence in an area. The turbidity monitors both upstream and downstream of the site will provide instant data on the quality of water in which they are deployed and will be equipped with an alarm system to alert site management if a peak in turbidity occurs as set out in the SOWOR.

The water monitoring programme was prepared in accordance with the following legislation:

- Planning and Development Acts 2000 (as amended)
- Planning and Development Regulations, 2001 (as amended);
- S.I. No. 94 of 1997: European Communities (Natural Habitats) Regulations, resulting from EU Directives 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (the Habitats Directive) and 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds (the Birds Directive);
- S.I. No. 293 of 1988: Quality of Salmon Water Regulations, resulting from EU Directive 78/659/EEC on the Quality of Fresh Waters Needing Protection or Improvement in order to Support Fish Life;
- S.I. No. 272 of 2009: European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 and S.I. No. 722 of 2003 European Communities (Water Policy) Regulations which implement EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC) and provide for implementation of 'daughter' Groundwater Directive (2006/118/EC). Since 2000 water management in the EU has been directed by the Water Framework Directive (WFD).
 - The key objectives of the WFD are that all water bodies in member states achieve (or retain) at least 'good' status by 2015. Water bodies comprise both surface and groundwater bodies, and the achievement of 'Good' status for these depends also on the achievement of 'good' status by dependent ecosystems. Phases of characterisation, risk assessment, monitoring and the design of programmes of measures to achieve the objectives of the WFD have either been completed or are ongoing. In 2015 it replaced a number of existing water related directives, which were successively being repealed, while implementation of other Directives (such as the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC) form part of the achievement of implementation of the objectives of the WFD;
- S.I. No. 41 of 1999: Protection of Groundwater Regulations, resulting from EU Directive 80/68/EEC on the protection of groundwater against pollution caused by certain dangerous substances (the Groundwater Directive);
- S.I. No. 249 of 1989: Quality of Surface Water Intended for Abstraction (Drinking Water), resulting from EU Directive 75/440/EEC concerning the quality required of surface water intended for the abstraction of drinking water in the Member States (repealed by 2000/60/EC in 2007);
- S.I. No. 439 of 2000: Quality of Water intended for Human Consumption Regulations and S.I. No. 278 of 2007 European Communities (Drinking Water No. 2) Regulations, arising from EU Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for



- human consumption (the Drinking Water Directive) and WFD 2000/60/EC (the Water Framework Directive);
- S.I. No. 272 of 2009: European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009;
- S.I. No. 9 of 2010: European Communities Environmental Objectives (Groundwater) Regulations 2010; and,
- S.I. No. 296 of 2009: European Communities Environmental Objectives (Freshwarer Pearl Mussel) Regulations 2009.

This water monitoring programme will be the subject of independent review by the Project Hydrologist who will provide the necessary guidance on the monitoring requirements. The water monitoring programme is outlined in the following sections.

4.1.1 Pre-Construction Baseline Monitoring

Water quality field testing and laboratory analysis will be undertaken prior to commencement of felling and construction at the site. The monitoring programme will be subject to agreement with Clare County Council but will be based on the planning stage programme already outlined in the EIAR and CEMP and presented in this document.

Analysis will be for a range of parameters with relevant regulatory limits along with Environmental Quality Standard's (EQSs) and sampling will be undertaken for each stream that drains from the construction site.

Baseline sampling will be completed on at least two occasions, and these will coincide with low flow and high flow stream conditions. The high flow sampling event will be undertaken after a period of sustained rainfall, and the low flow event will be undertaken after a dry spell.

There is an existing drainage network across the site and runoff drains relatively freely to local watercourses and streams. This existing drainage system will continue to function as it is during the preconstruction phase.

However, prior to commencement of works in sub-catchments across the site, main drain inspections will be competed to ensure ditches and streams are free from debris and blockages that may impede drainage. These inspections will be done on a catchment-by-catchment basis as the construction works develop across the site, as works in all areas will not commence simultaneously.

4.1.2 Construction Phase Monitoring

4.1.2.1 **Daily Visual Inspections**

Daily surface water monitoring forms (for visual inspections and field chemistry measurements) will also be utilised at every works site near any watercourse. These will be taken daily and kept on site for record and inspection, to allow for identification of any obstructions to channels and to allow appropriate maintenance of the drainage regime. Should the suspended solids levels measured during construction, at the daily visual inspection locations, be higher than the baseline levels, the source will be identified, and additional mitigation measures implemented.

Inspection sheets and photographic records will be kept on site. Inspection points will include the in-situ field monitoring point locations, the laboratory analysis sampling points and continuous monitoring locations. Inspection points will depend on works being completed within the catchment upstream of the identified monitoring locations. Visual inspections will also be completed after major rainfall events, i.e. after events of >25mm rainfall in any 24-hour period and data including photographs will be collected by visual inspections and independently assessed by the supervising hydrologist who will monitor and advise on the records being received.



Daily Visual Inspection locations are shown in Figure 5 (V1-V5) will be confirmed by the Project Hydrologist and ECoW, prior to the commencement of the construction phase, and Daily Visual Check Sheet Template is included in Appendix C. Daily Visual Inspections are subject to change upon commencement of construction activity and works in progress within the catchment areas.

The following periodic inspection regime will be implemented:

- riodic inspection regime will be implemented:

 Daily general visual inspections of site operations and inspections of all watercourses within the site and in the surrounding area by the ECoW or a suitably qualified and competent person as delegated by the ECoW;
- Inspections to include all elements of drainage infrastructure to ensure the system is operating correctly and to identify any maintenance that is required. Any changes, such as discolouration, odour, oily sheen or litter shall be noted, and corrective action shall be implemented. High risk locations such as settlement ponds will be inspected daily by the ECoW. Daily inspections checks will be completed on plant and equipment, and whether materials such as straw bales or oil absorbent materials need replacement;
- Event based inspections by the ECoW as follows:
 - 10 mm/hr (i.e. high intensity localised rainfall event);
 - 25 mm in a 24-hour period (heavy frontal rainfall lasting most of the day);
 - Rainfall depth greater than monthly average in 7 days (prolonged heavy rainfall over a week).
- Monthly site inspections by the Project Hydrologist/ ECoW of the drainage measures during construction phase;
- Quarterly site inspections by the Project Hydrologist/ ECoW of the drainage measures after construction for a period of one year following the construction phase;
- A written record will be maintained or available on-site within CEMP which will be maintained on-site during the construction phase.

Continuous Monitoring 4.1.2.2

During, the construction phase, continuous, in-situ, monitoring equipment will be installed where required at locations surrounding the site. The monitoring equipment will provide continuous readings for turbidity levels, flow rate and water depth in the watercourse. This equipment will be supplemented by daily visual monitoring at their locations as discussed in Section 4.1.2.1 above.

The proposed locations for continuous, in-situ monitoring (CM1-CM2) are detailed below in Figure 5.

Monthly Laboratory Analysis 4.1.2.3

Baseline laboratory analysis at locations of a range of parameters with relevant regulatory limits and EQSs will be undertaken as per water monitoring programme for the overall wind farm development and each primary watercourse along the route. This will not be restricted to just these locations, seen below on Figure 5, around the immediate site, with further sampling points added as deemed necessary by the ECoW, in consultation with the Project Hydrologist and Site Manager, as the construction phase progresses.

Field Monitoring 4.1.2.4

Field chemistry measurements of unstable parameters, (pH, specific electrical conductivity, temperature and turbidity) will be taken at the surface water monitoring locations, as per water monitoring programme for the Proposed Project and each primary watercourse along the route and also at all installed sonde locations. These analyses will be carried out by either the ECoW or the Project



Hydrologist. In-situ field monitoring will be completed on a weekly basis. In-situ field monitoring will also be completed after major rainfall events, i.e. after events of >25mm rainfall in any 24-hour period. The Project Hydrologist will monitor and advise on the readings collected by in-situ field monitoring.

4.1.2.5 **Monitoring Parameters**

The analytical determinants of the monitoring programme (including limits of detection and frequency of analysis) will be as per S.I. No. 272 of 2009 European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations and European Communities Environmental Objectives (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) Regulations 2009. The suite of determinants will include:

- > pH (field measured)
- Electrical Conductivity (field measured)
- > Temperature (field measured)
- > Dissolved Oxygen (field measured)
- Alkalinity (pH measured)
- > Total Phosphorus
- Chloride
- Nitrate
- Nitrite
- > Total Nitrogen
- Ortho-Phosphate
- Total Ammonia as N
- Biochemical Oxygen Demand
- > Total Suspended Solids
- True colour
- Dissolved organic carbon

Surface Water Monitoring Reporting

Visual inspection and monthly laboratory analysis results of water quality monitoring shall assist in determining requirements for any necessary improvements in drainage controls and pollution prevention measures implemented on site.


It will be the responsibility of the ECoW to present the ongoing results of water quality and weather monitoring at or in advance of regular site meetings.

Reports on water quality will consider all field monitoring and visual inspections, and results of laboratory analysis completed for that period. Reports will describe how the results compare with baseline data as well as previous reports on water quality. The reports will also describe whether any deterioration or improvement in water quality has been observed, whether any effects are attributable to construction activities and what remedial measures or corrective actions have been implemented. Any proposed alteration to sampling frequency will be agreed with Clare County Council in advance.

4.1.4 Post Construction Monitoring

4.1.4.1 Monthly Laboratory Analysis Sampling

Monthly sampling for laboratory analysis for the range of parameters adopted during precommencement and construction phases will continue quarterly after construction is complete. The Project Hydrologist will monitor and advise on the readings received from the testing laboratory and monitoring will only cease once the hydrologist is satisfied that the chemical and biological monitoring results show that there is no adverse impact on the quality of surface water within the natural watercourses draining the site.





5.1

COMPLIANCE AND REVIEW


Site Inspections and Auditing


Field inspections and testing will only be carried out by an experienced ECoW to ensure all correct protocols are carried out. The Project Hydrologist will also assist in compliance of testing and


The SWMP will be reviewed in line with the CEMP and updated as required prior to commencement of construction to address any relevant planning conditions and mitigation measures, and also every six months thereafter during the construction phase of the Proposed Project.


















*INCLUDES GW INFLOW

**COMBINATIONS OF 2 OR 3 SMALLER PONDS MAY BE USED


SCALE I:50

Date: 12/08/2024

Checked By: MG




DETAIL D



NOTE: SPACING OF CHECK DAMS ALONG CENTRELINE AND SCOUR PROTECTION BELOW EACH CHECK DAM


DETAIL CI



TEMPORARY CHECK DAM / SETTLEMENT POND OVERFLOW SAND FILLED BAG CONSTRUCTION SCHEMATIC - NOT TO SCALE

PROJECT DESIGN DRAWING NOTES


1. DRAWINGS ISSUED ARE FOR PLANNING STAGE ONLY.
2. COPYRIGHT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART HERE WITH MAY BE COPIED OR REPRODUCED PARTIALLY OR WHOLLY IN ANY FORM WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE PRIOR NOTICE OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER HYDRO-ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. 3. DO NOT SCALE OFF THIS DRAWING. FIGURED METRIC DIMENSIONS ONLY SHOULD BE




DETAIL C2

TYPE X - CHECK DAM DETAIL


SCALE 1:50



DETAIL F-I



DETAIL F-II



SILT FENCE FOR WATERCOURSE PROTECTION SCALE 1:25

	I	1	ı
30/07/24	Planning	MG	MG
Date	Description	Chkd	Signed



22 Lower Main St tel: +353 (0) 58-44122 Dungarvan tel: +353 (0) 58-44244 Co. Waterford email: info@hydroenvironmental.ie Ireland web: www.hydroenvironmental.ie


EDF RENEWABLES IRELAND


Client:


LACKAREAGH WIND FARM, Co. Clare

DRAINAGE DETAILS 2

Figure No: D502 Drawing No: P1598-0-0724-A1-D502-00A Project No.: P1598-0 Sheet Size: A1 Scale: as shown (A1) Drawn By: GA Date: 30/07/2024 Checked By: MG








STOP LOG


TEMPORARY CONCRETE WASH OUT PIT

PLAN



GEOTEXTILE

OVERFLOW AREA



PROJECT DESIGN DRAWING NOTES


I. DRAWINGS ISSUED ARE FOR PLANNING STAGE ONLY.

2. COPYRIGHT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART HERE WITH MAY BE COPIED OR REPRODUCED PARTIALLY OR WHOLLY IN ANY FORM WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE PRIOR

NOTICE OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER HYDRO-ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES.

3. DO NOT SCALE OFF THIS DRAWING. FIGURED METRIC DIMENSIONS ONLY SHOULD BE TAKEN OFF THIS DRAWING.






Work Item No.	Description	Duration of Schedule 1	Risk Schedule 1: very high risk		Pre-commencement Triggers all four triggers should be met			Works Abandonment Triggers If any four triggers are met			
140.		WOTKS	Schedule 2: high risk Schedule 3: intermediate risk	Trigger 1 Drainage treatment infrastructure installed prior to works commencing. All in good working order		Trigger 3 Daily Visual Inspection procedure in place by ECoW	Trigger 4 Weather forecast: (a) during planned works period (b) observed on site	Damage to silt fence/other drainage measure or	River/ Watercour se turbidity	Trigger 3 Deterioration of SW quality as reported by ECoW	Trigger 4: Weather forecast (a) during the planned works period and (b) observed on site
1	Enabling works including felling, site compound establishment welfare facilities, site office and fencing	2 months	Schedule 2	Drainage measures to be installed as per EIAR & drainage management plan	Turbidity at baseline levels	Procedure for inspection must be in place with ECoW reporting satisfactory SW quality before works commence	Schedule 2 rainfall figures (see below) utilising reliable forecasting source	Works cease and emergency response procedure activated including the use and installation of additional pumping equipment, sedimats, siltbags and silt fencing	Turbidity 20% above baseline conditions or >15ntu – subject to baseline data analysis	Works cease and investigation conducted.	Schedule 2 rainfall figures (see below)
2	Preliminary enabling works and peat excavation operations	6 months	Schedule 1	Drainage measures to be installed as per EIAR & drainage management plan	Turbidity at baseline levels	Procedure for inspection must be in place with ECoW reporting satisfactory SW quality before works commence	Schedule 1 rainfall figures (see below) utilising reliable forecasting source	Works cease and emergency response procedure activated including the use and installation of additional	Turbidity 20% above baseline conditions or >15ntu – subject to baseline data analysis	Works cease and investigation conducted.	Schedule 1 rainfall figures (see below)

								pumping equipment, sedimats, siltbags and silt fencing	>		
3	Roads Excavation Excavate new road alignment, upgrade/widen existing carriageway	3-4 months	Schedule 1	Drainage measures to be installed as per EIAR & drainage management plan	Turbidity at baseline levels	Procedure for inspection must be in place with ECoW reporting satisfactory SW quality before works commence	Schedule 1 rainfall figures (see below) utilising reliable forecasting source	Works cease and emergency response procedure activated including the use and installation of additional pumping equipment, sedimats, siltbags and silt fencing	Turbidity 20% above baseline conditions or >15ntu – subject to baseline data analysis	Works cease and investigation conducted.	Schedule 1 rainfall figures (see below)
4	Culvert Upgrade or replacement works	4 months	Schedule 1	Drainage measures to be installed as per EIAR & drainage management plan	Turbidity at baseline levels	Procedure for inspection must be in place with ECoW reporting satisfactory SW quality before works commence	Schedule 1 rainfall figures (see below) utilising reliable forecasting source	Works cease and emergency response procedure activated including the use and installation of additional pumping equipment, sedimats, siltbags and silt fencing	Turbidity 20% above baseline conditions or >15ntu – subject to baseline data analysis	Works cease and investigation conducted.	Schedule 1 rainfall figures (see below)
5	Carriage way resurfacing	1 month	Schedule 3	Activity not dependent on drainage treatment infrastructure	Activity not anticipated to effect turbidity	Activity not dependent on visual inspection of SW quality	Activity not weather dependent	Activity not dependent on drainage treatment infrastructure	Activity not anticipated to effect turbidity	Activity not dependent on visual inspection of SW quality	Activity not weather dependent

Schedule 3 11 Commissioning 2 months Activity not and snagging dependent on anticipated to dependent on weather dependent on anticipated dependent on weather drainage drainage effect turbidity visual inspection dependent to effect visual inspection dependent of SW quality turbidity of SW quality treatment treatment infrastructure infrastructure

	>10 mm/hr (i.e. high intensity local rainfall events)			
Schedule 1 – Very high-risk activities	>25 mm in a 24-hour period (heavy frontal rainfall lasting most of the day); or,			
6	>half monthly average rainfall in any 7 days.			
	No overland flow or pathway for water movement			
	Conditions on the ground match the forecast			
	>10 mm/hr (i.e. high intensity local rainfall events)			
Schedule 2 – High risk activities	>25 mm in a 24-hour period (heavy frontal rainfall lasting most of the day); or,			
	>half monthly average rainfall in any 7 days.			
	Conditions on the ground match the forecast			
	>10 mm/hr (i.e. high intensity local rainfall events)			
Schedule 3 – Intermediate risk	>25 mm in a 24-hour period (heavy frontal rainfall lasting most of the day); or,			
	>half monthly average rainfall in any 7 days.			
Conditions on the ground match the forecast				







Project	220245 - Lackareagh	Draft Date	21/05/2024
Cliont	EDF Renewables Ireland Ltd.	Version	1
File Name	220245 – Daily Victor	Inspection – 202	4.05.21

Date:	
Weather:	
Rainfall previous 24hr (mm):	
Completed by:	

· 2 0
000
20
X

Surface Water Sampling Locations						
SW Ref	Visual Inspection Result	Action Required	Photographs			
SW1						
SW2						
SW3						
SW4						
SW5						
SW6						
SW7						
SW8						
SW9						
SW10						

Visual Inspection Locations						
VC Ref	Visual Inspection Result	Action Required	Photographs			
VI1						
VI2						
VI3						
VI4						
VI5						
VI6						
VI7						
VI8						
VI9						
VI10						

Visual Inspection Results:

- 1. Water clear no issues.
- 2. Water turbid with a peaty tinge.
- 3. Water silty as a result of works NOT associated with the Lackareagh Wind Farm.
- Water silty as a result of works associated with the Lackareagh Wind Farm works. ACTION REQUIRED.



Project	220245 - Lackareagh	Draft Date	21/05/2024
Client	EDF Renewables Ireland Ltd.	Version	1
File Name	220245 – Daily Visual	Inspection – 202	4.005.21

Action Items / Notes / Comments:	· (5).
	- RO 100 202 A
	702

Visual Inspection Results:

- 1. Water clear no issues.
- 2. Water turbid with a peaty tinge.
- 3. Water silty as a result of works NOT associated with the Lackareagh Wind Farm.
- Water silty as a result of works associated with the Lackareagh Wind Farm works. ACTION REQUIRED.